

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/578,361	YAMANAKA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SARIRA POURBOHLOUL	4151	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) SARIRA POURBOHLOUL. (3) _____.

(2) Attorney W. Douglas Hahm. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2009

Time: 1:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Restriction of claims into two groups :

Group I and group II.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Hahm did not elect on the phone and requested a written restriction requirement.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)